

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,103	04/21/2004	Steven M. Benedetti	0275M-000738	5990	
27572 7	7590 08/30/2006		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			AMIRI, NAHID		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3679		

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Supplemental	10/829,103	BENEDETTI ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nahid Amiri	3679	

			1
	Nahid Amiri	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearable claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) on NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGORY of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport of the appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to 04 August 2006.			
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3 and 5-7</u> .		•	
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		tion from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	uments have been received in this i	national stage applica	aon nom me
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMITHIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the re	quirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give:	tted. Note the attached EXAMINER's reason(s) why the oath or declara	S AMENDMENT or Nation is deficient.	IOTICE OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	be submitted.		
(a) 🔲 including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperso	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	Amendment / Comment or in the O	office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.8 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the			back) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F 			Note the
			·
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PT	O-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summary		
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date <u>04 August 2006</u> 	Paper No./Mail Dat 3), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendn		
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🗌 Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allo	owance
or biological material	9.		

Application/Control Number: 10/829,103 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

The information disclosure statement filed August 4, 2006 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the submission fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(d) and (e). In particular, while it is noted that applicants have included a certification under 37 CFR 1.97(e), such certification is an improper certification. Specifically, applicants "certify" that some of the items listed were first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office not more than three months from the filing of the IDS and the remaining items were not known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c). This is clearly an improper certification since the date of the European search report is September 17, 2004 while the instant IDS was filed on August 4, 2006. Moreover, the four items on that European search report were listed in copending application serial number 10/842,355 in an IDS filed therein on October 26, 2004. Further, numerous of the remaining listed items were cited by the Office in the respective copending applications on PTO-892s mailed July 15, 2005 and January 9, 2006. Accordingly, it is evident that these items were known more than three months prior to the filing of the instant IDS. The IDS of August 4, 2006 has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicants are advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Stodola August 29,2006

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600